

On stability of isomorphisms between interpolation spaces

Mieczysław Mastyło

Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań

Paweł Domański Memorial Conference
July 1-7, 2018, Będlewo

Based on joint works with I. Asekritova & N. Kruglyak

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The uniqueness of inverses on intersection of a couple
- 3 The uniqueness of inverses on intersection of interpolated Banach spaces
- 4 Intervals of invertibility of interpolated operators
- 5 The modules of injection and surjection of operators on complex spaces
- 6 Appendix

Definition. A bounded linear operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ between Banach spaces is said to be **semi-Fredholm** if $T(X)$ is closed in Y and at least one of the subspaces $\ker T$, $Y/T(X)$ is finite-dimensional. Then the index of T is given by

$$\text{ind}(T) := \dim(\ker T) - \text{codim } T(X)$$

If $\text{ind}(T)$ is finite, T is called a **Fredholm** operator.

Example. A strictly singular perturbation of a Fredholm operator remains Fredholm and has the same index.

Remarks. (**The Fredholm Alternative**) If X is a Banach space and $K: X \rightarrow X$ is a compact operator, then for every $\lambda \neq 0$ exactly one of the following two exclusive statements is true:

- (i) For every $y \in Y$ the equation $x - \lambda Kx = y$ has a unique solution;
- (ii) The equation $x - \lambda Kx = 0$ has a non-trivial solution.

When (ii) is true, the equation $x - \lambda Kx = 0$ has a finite number of linearly independent solutions.

Introduction

- A mapping $F: \vec{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ from the category $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$ of all couples of Banach spaces into the category \mathcal{B} of all Banach spaces is said to be an **interpolation functor** if, for any couple $\vec{X} := (X_0, X_1)$, the Banach space $F(X_0, X_1)$ is **intermediate** with respect to \vec{X} (i.e., $X_0 \cap X_1 \subset F(X_0, X_1) \subset X_0 + X_1$), and

$$T: F(X_0, X_1) \rightarrow F(Y_0, Y_1) \quad \text{for all } T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1);$$

- $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)$ means that $T: X_0 + X_1 \rightarrow Y_0 + Y_1$ is a linear operator such that the restrictions of T to the space X_j is a bounded operator from X_j to Y_j , for both $j = 0$ and $j = 1$.
- An operator $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)$ between Banach couples is said to be **invertible** whenever the restriction $T|_{X_j}: X_j \rightarrow Y_j$ is **invertible** (i.e., T is an isomorphism of X_j onto Y_j) for each $j \in \{0, 1\}$.

- **The complex method** Let $S := \{z \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1\}$ be an open strip on the plane. For a given $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and any couple $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}(\vec{X})$ the Banach space of all bounded continuous functions $f: \bar{S} \rightarrow X_0 + X_1$ on the closure \bar{S} that are analytic on S , and

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto f(j + it) \in X_j, \quad j = 0, 1$$

is a bounded continuous function, and equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}(\vec{X})} = \max_{j=0,1} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(j + it)\|_{X_j}.$$

The (lower) complex interpolation space $[\vec{X}]_{\theta} := \{f(\theta); f \in \mathcal{F}(\vec{X})\}$ and is equipped with the quotient norm.

- **The real method** For $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$, $(X_0, X_1)_{\theta, p}$ is defined as the Banach space of all $x \in X_0 + X_1$ equipped with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\theta, p} = \left(\int_0^{\infty} [t^{-\theta} K(t, x; \vec{X})]^p \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/p},$$

where

$$K(t, x; \vec{X}) := \inf \{ \|x_0\|_{X_0} + t \|x_1\|_{X_1}; x = x_0 + x_1 \}, \quad t > 0.$$

Theorem

(I. Ya. Shneiberg, 1974) If $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)$ is such that

$$T: [X_0, X_1]_{\theta_*} \rightarrow [Y_0, Y_1]_{\theta_*}$$

is Fredholm for some $\theta_* \in (0, 1)$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$T: [X_0, X_1]_{\theta} \rightarrow [Y_0, Y_1]_{\theta}$$

is Fredholm and the index is constant for all $\theta \in (\theta_* - \varepsilon, \theta_* + \varepsilon)$.

- **Remark.** Let $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$ be a complex Banach couple and $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (X_0, X_1)$ be an operator. If $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1$ and $T_\alpha := T|_{[\vec{X}]_\alpha}$ and $T_\beta := T|_{[\vec{X}]_\beta}$ are invertible, then the inverses T_α^{-1} and T_β^{-1} do not coincide on $X_0 \cap X_1$ in general.
- **Example.** The dilatation operator D_a ($a > 0$, $a \neq 1$) given by $D_a f(t) = f(at)$, $t > 0$ is bounded on $L^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for every $1 < p < \infty$ and

$$\sigma(D_a, L^p) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda| = a^{-1/p}\}.$$

If $|\lambda| = a^{-1/p}$, $p_0 < p < p_1$, then the operator $T := D_a - \lambda I$ is invertible on

$$L^{p_0} = [L^1, L^\infty]_\alpha, \quad L^{p_1} = [L^1, L^\infty]_\beta$$

with $\alpha = 1 - 1/p_0$ and $\beta = 1 - 1/p_1$ but T is **not** invertible on L^p .

- **M. Zafran** (1980) An operator $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (X_0, X_1)$ is said to have the **uniqueness-of-resolvent property** if

$$(T_\alpha - \lambda I)^{-1}|_{X_0 \cap X_1} = (T_\beta - \lambda I)^{-1}|_{X_0 \cap X_1}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda \notin \sigma(T_\alpha) \cup \sigma(T_\beta)$.

- **T. Ransford** (1986) An operator $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (X_0, X_1)$ satisfies the **local uniqueness-of-resolvent condition**, if for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda \notin \sigma(T_\alpha)$, there exists a neighbourhood $U \subset (0, 1)$ of α such that $(T_\theta - \lambda I)^{-1}$ exists and

$$(T_\theta - \lambda I)^{-1} = (T_\alpha - \lambda I)^{-1}|_{X_0 \cap X_1}, \quad \theta \in U.$$

Albrecht and Müller (2000) If (X_0, X_1) is a complex Banach couple and $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (X_0, X_1)$ is such that $T_\alpha: [X_0, X_1]_\alpha \rightarrow [X_0, X_1]_\alpha$ is invertible for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then there exists a neighbourhood $U \subset (0, 1)$ of α such that T_θ is invertible and T_θ^{-1} agrees with T_α^{-1} on $X_0 \cap X_1$ for any $\theta \in U$.

- **A. P. Calderón** (1983) If (Ω, Σ, μ) is a measure space and $T: L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ is a bounded operator for $1 < p < \infty$, which is invertible for $p = 2$, then T is also invertible when $2 - \varepsilon < p < 2 + \varepsilon$, for some small $\varepsilon > 0$.
- A careful analysis of Calderón's proofs gives the compatibility of inverses, i.e., there exists some small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $p, q \in (2 - \varepsilon, 2 + \varepsilon)$, the inverse T^{-1} considered on the space $L^p(\mu)$ is compatible with T^{-1} considered on $L^q(\mu)$ when both operators are restricted to $L^p(\mu) \cap L^q(\mu)$.
- **J. Pipher and G. Verchota** (1992) shown a useful application of Calderón's result for solvability of the Dirichlet problem with data in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$ for the biharmonic equation:

$$\Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{with } u = f \quad \text{and} \quad \partial u / \partial n = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

in a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

- Let \mathbf{Ban} be the class of all Banach spaces over the complex field. A mapping $\mathcal{X}: \mathbf{Ban} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ban}$ is called a **pseudolattice**, or a **pseudo- \mathbb{Z} -lattice**, if
 - for every $B \in \mathbf{Ban}$ the space $\mathcal{X}(B)$ consists of B valued sequences $\{b_n\} = \{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ modelled on \mathbb{Z} ;
 - whenever A is a closed subspace of B it follows that $\mathcal{X}(A)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{X}(B)$;
 - there exists a positive constant $C = C(\mathcal{X})$ such that, for all $A, B \in \mathbf{Ban}$ and all bounded linear operators $T: A \rightarrow B$ and every sequence $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{X}(A)$, the sequence $\{Ta_n\} \in \mathcal{X}(B)$ and satisfies the estimate

$$\|\{Ta_n\}\|_{\mathcal{X}(B)} \leq C \|T\|_{A \rightarrow B} \|\{a_n\}\|_{\mathcal{X}(A)};$$

(iv)

$$\|b_m\|_B \leq \|\{b_n\}\|_{\mathcal{X}(B)}$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, all $\{b_n\} \in \mathcal{X}(B)$ and all Banach spaces B .

- For every Banach couple $\vec{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ and every Banach couple of pseudolattices $\vec{\mathcal{X}} = (\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)$, let $\mathcal{J}(\vec{\mathcal{X}}, \vec{B})$ be the Banach space of all $B_0 \cap B_1$ valued sequences $\{b_n\}$ such that $\{e^{jn} b_n\} \in \mathcal{X}(B_j)$ ($j = 0, 1$), equipped with the norm.

$$\|\{b_n\}\|_{\mathcal{J}(\vec{\mathcal{X}}, \vec{B})} = \max \left\{ \|\{b_n\}\|_{\mathcal{X}_0(B_0)}, \|\{e^n b_n\}\|_{\mathcal{X}_1(B_1)} \right\}.$$

- Following [Cwikel–Kalton–Milman–Rochberg \(2002\)](#), for every s in the annulus $\mathbb{A} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}; 1 < |z| < e\}$, we define the Banach space $\vec{B}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, s}$ to consist of all elements of the form $b = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s^n b_n$ (convergence in $B_0 + B_1$ with $\{b_n\} \in \mathcal{J}(\vec{\mathcal{X}}, \vec{B})$), equipped with the norm

$$\|b\|_{\vec{B}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, s}} = \inf \left\{ \|\{b_n\}\|_{\mathcal{J}(\vec{\mathcal{X}}, \vec{B})}; b = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s^n b_n \right\}.$$

It is easy to check that the map $\vec{B} \mapsto \vec{B}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, s}$ is an interpolation functor.

- A couple $\vec{\mathcal{X}} = (\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)$ of Banach pseudolattices, is said to be **translation invariant** if for any Banach space B ,

$$\| \{ S^k(\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) \|_{\mathcal{X}_j(B)} = \| \{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|_{\mathcal{X}_j(B)}$$

for all $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{X}_j(B)$, each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \in \{0, 1\}$, where S is the left-shift operator defined by $S\{b_n\} = \{b_{n+1}\}$.

- $\vec{\mathcal{X}} = (\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)$ is said to be a **rotation invariant** Banach couple of pseudolattices whenever the rotation map

$$\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto \{e^{in\tau} b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

is an isometry of $\mathcal{X}_j(B)$ onto itself for every real τ and every Banach space B .

Lemma

Let $\vec{\mathcal{X}} = (\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)$ be a Banach couple of rotation invariant pseudolattices. Then, for every Banach couple $\vec{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ and all $s \in \mathbb{A}$, we have

- (i) If $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}}(\vec{B})$, then $f(s) \in \vec{B}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, |s|}$;
- (ii) If $x \in \vec{B}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, |s|}$, then there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}}(\vec{B})$ such that $f(s) = x$;
- (iii) $\vec{B}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, s} \cong \vec{B}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, |s|}$.

Theorem

(I. Asekritova, N. Kruglyak & M. M.) Let $\vec{\mathcal{X}} = (\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)$ be a couple of rotational and translational invariant pseudolattices and let $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in (0,1)}$ be a family of interpolation functors defined by $F_\theta(X_0, X_1) := (X_0, X_1)_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, e^\theta}$ for any Banach couple (X_0, X_1) . Suppose also that $\vec{\mathcal{X}}$ is such that F_θ is regular functor and $F_\theta(X_0, X_1) = F_\theta(X_0^\circ, X_1^\circ)$ for any Banach couple (X_0, X_1) . If an operator $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)$ is such that the operator

$$T|_{F_{\theta_*}(X_0, X_1)}: F_{\theta_*}(X_0, X_1) \rightarrow F_{\theta_*}(Y_0, Y_1)$$

is Fredholm. Then there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\theta_*, \vec{\mathcal{X}}) > 0$ such that for any $\theta \in (\theta_* - \varepsilon, \theta_* + \varepsilon)$ the operator

$$T|_{F_\theta(X_0, X_1)}: F_\theta(X_0, X_1) \rightarrow F_\theta(Y_0, Y_1)$$

is also Fredholm and $\text{ind}(T|_{F_\theta(X_0, X_1)}) = \text{ind}(T|_{F_{\theta_*}(X_0, X_1)})$.

Theorem

Let $\vec{\mathcal{X}} = (\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)$ be a Banach couple of translation invariant pseudolattices and let $T: \vec{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{Y}}$ be an operator between complex Banach couples. Assume that $T: \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}},s} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{Y}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}},s}$ is invertible for some $s \in \mathbb{A}$. Then $T_\omega: \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}},\omega} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{Y}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}},\omega}$ is invertible for all ω in an open neighbourhood $W = \{\omega \in \mathbb{A}; |\omega - s| < r\}$ of s in \mathbb{A} with

$$r = [2\delta(s)(1 + \|T\|_{\vec{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{Y}}} \|T^{-1}\|_{\vec{\mathcal{Y}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}},s} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}},s}})]^{-1},$$

where $\delta(s) = \max\{(|s| - 1)^{-1}, (e - |s|)^{-1}\}$. Moreover the following upper estimate for the norm of T_ω holds,

$$\|T_\omega^{-1}\|_{\vec{\mathcal{Y}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}},\omega} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}},\omega}} \leq 2 \|T_s^{-1}\|_{\vec{\mathcal{Y}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}},s} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}},s}}, \quad \omega \in W.$$

Theorem

Let $\vec{\mathcal{X}} = (\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)$ be a couple of translation and rotation invariant pseudolattices and let $T: \vec{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{Y}}$. Assume that $T_{\theta_*}: \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, e^{\theta_*}} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{Y}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, e^{\theta_*}}$ is invertible for some $\theta_* \in (0, 1)$. Then $T_\theta: \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, e^\theta} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{Y}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, e^\theta}$ is invertible for all θ in an open neighbourhood $I = \{\theta \in (0, 1); |\theta - \theta_*| < \varepsilon\}$ of θ_* with

$$\varepsilon = [2e\eta(\theta_*)(1 + \|T\|_{\vec{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{Y}}} \|T^{-1}\|_{\vec{\mathcal{Y}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, e^{\theta_*}} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, e^{\theta_*}}})]^{-1},$$

where $\eta(\theta_*) = \max \{(e^{\theta_*} - 1)^{-1}, (e - e^{\theta_*})^{-1}\}$. Moreover T_θ^{-1} agrees with $T_{\theta_*}^{-1}$ on $Y_0 \cap Y_1$ and

$$\|T_\theta^{-1}\|_{\vec{\mathcal{Y}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, e^\theta} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, e^\theta}} \leq 2 \|T_{\theta_*}^{-1}\|_{\vec{\mathcal{Y}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, e^{\theta_*}} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, e^{\theta_*}}}, \quad \theta \in I.$$

Theorem

Let $\vec{\mathcal{X}}$ be a couple of pseudolattices and let $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$, $\vec{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1)$ be complex Banach couples, $T: \vec{X} \rightarrow \vec{Y}$ and $s \in \mathbb{A}$. Assume that $T: \vec{X}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}},s} \rightarrow \vec{Y}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}},s}$ is invertible. Then, there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{A}$ of s such that, for all $k \in \mathcal{F}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}}(\vec{Y})$, there exist analytic functions $g: U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}}(\vec{X})$ and $h: U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}}(\vec{Y})$ such that, for all $\omega \in U$,

$$T(g(\omega)(z)) + (\omega - z)h(\omega)(z) = k(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{A}.$$

Definition

A family $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in (0,1)}$ of interpolation functors is said to be **stable** if for any Banach couples $\vec{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ and $\vec{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ and for every operator $S: \vec{A} \rightarrow \vec{B}$ such that the restriction S_{θ_*} of S to $F_{\theta_*}(\vec{A})$ is invertible for some $\theta_* \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for any $\theta \in I(\theta_*) = (\theta_* - \varepsilon, \theta_* + \varepsilon)$, we have

- (i) $S_\theta: F_\theta(\vec{A}) \rightarrow F_\theta(\vec{B})$ are invertible operators;
- (ii) $S_\theta^{-1}: F_\theta(\vec{B}) \rightarrow F_\theta(\vec{A})$ agrees with $S_{\theta_*}^{-1}: F_{\theta_*}(\vec{B}) \rightarrow F_{\theta_*}(\vec{A})$ on $B_0 \cap B_1$, i.e., $S_\theta^{-1}y = S_{\theta_*}^{-1}y$ for all $y \in B_0 \cap B_1$;
- (iii) $\sup_{\theta \in I(\theta_*)} \|S_\theta^{-1}\|_{F_\theta(\vec{B}) \rightarrow F_\theta(\vec{A})} \leq C \|S_{\theta_*}^{-1}\|_{F_{\theta_*}(\vec{B}) \rightarrow F_{\theta_*}(\vec{A})}$ for some $C = C(\theta_*)$.

Theorem

If $\vec{\mathcal{X}} = (\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)$ is a Banach couple of translation and rotation invariant pseudolattices, then the following family of interpolation functors $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in (0,1)}$ is stable, where

$$F_\theta(A_0, A_1) \cong (A_0, A_1)_{\vec{\mathcal{X}}, e^\theta}$$

for any Banach couple (A_0, A_1) .

- **Remark.** Let $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in (0,1)}$ be a stable family of interpolation functors and let $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)$. Then the set of all $\theta \in (0, 1)$ for which

$$T: F_\theta(X_0, X_1) \rightarrow F_\theta(Y_0, Y_1)$$

is invertible, is open, so it is a union of open disjoint intervals. These intervals we will call **intervals of invertibility** of T with respect to the family $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in (0,1)}$.

- **Question.** Let $I \subset (0, 1)$ be any interval of invertibility of T . Is it true that for any $\theta, \theta' \in I$ the inverses T_θ^{-1} and $T_{\theta'}^{-1}$ agree on

$$F_\theta(Y_0, Y_1) \cap F_{\theta'}(Y_0, Y_1)?$$

Theorem

Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and let $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)$ and let $I \subset (0, 1)$ be an interval of invertibility of T with respect to the family $\{(\cdot)_{\theta, q}\}_{\theta \in (0, 1)}$ of real interpolation functors. Then for any $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in I$,

$$T_{\theta_0}^{-1}(y) = T_{\theta_1}^{-1}(y), \quad y \in (Y_0, Y_1)_{\theta_0, q} \cap (Y_0, Y_1)_{\theta_1, q}.$$

Theorem

Let $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)$ be an operator between couples of complex Banach spaces and let $I \subset (0, 1)$ be an interval of invertibility of T with respect to the family $\{[\cdot]_{\theta}\}_{\theta \in (0, 1)}$. Then for any $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in I$,

$$T_{\theta_0}^{-1}(y) = T_{\theta_1}^{-1}(y), \quad y \in [Y_0, Y_1]_{\theta_0} \cap [Y_0, Y_1]_{\theta_1}.$$

Theorem

Let $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)$ be an operator between couples of complex Banach spaces. If $T: [X_0, X_1]_{\theta_*} \rightarrow [Y_0, Y_1]_{\theta_*}$ is invertible for some $\theta_* \in (0, 1)$, then

$$T: (X_0, X_1)_{\theta_*, q} \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)_{\theta_*, q}$$

is invertible for all $q \in [1, \infty]$.

Theorem

If $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)$ is such that $T: [X_0, X_1]_{\theta_*} \rightarrow [Y_0, Y_1]_{\theta_*}$ is Fredholm then for all $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ the operator

$$T: (X_0, X_1)_{\theta_*, q} \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)_{\theta_*, q}$$

is Fredholm and index is the same

$$\text{ind}(T|_{(X_0, X_1)_{\theta_*, q}}) = \text{ind}(T|_{[X_0, X_1]_{\theta_*}}).$$

Theorem

Suppose that an operator $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)$ between Banach spaces is such that the operator

$$T|_{(X_0, X_1)_{\theta_*, \infty}}: (X_0, X_1)_{\theta_*, \infty} \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)_{\theta_*, \infty}$$

is Fredholm. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $\theta \in (\theta_* - \varepsilon, \theta_* + \varepsilon)$ the operator

$$T|_{(X_0, X_1)_{\theta, \infty}}: (X_0, X_1)_{\theta, \infty} \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)_{\theta, \infty}$$

is Fredholm.

Definition. A family of interpolation functors $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in (0,1)}$ satisfies the (Δ) -condition if for any Banach couple $\vec{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ and for any θ_0, θ_1 with $0 < \theta_0 < \theta_1 < 1$, we have continuous inclusions

$$F_{\theta_0}(\vec{A}) \cap F_{\theta_1}(\vec{A}) \hookrightarrow \bigcap_{\theta_0 < \theta < \theta_1} F_\theta(\vec{A}) \hookrightarrow (F_{\theta_0}(\vec{A}))^c \cap (F_{\theta_1}(\vec{A}))^c,$$

where the norm in $\bigcap_{\theta_0 < \theta < \theta_1} F_\theta(\vec{A})$ is given by

$$\|a\|_{\bigcap_{\theta_0 < \theta < \theta_1} F_\theta(\vec{A})} = \sup_{\theta_0 < \theta < \theta_1} \|a\|_{F_\theta(\vec{A})}.$$

and the **Gagliardo completion** $(F_{\theta_i}(\vec{A}))^c$, $j \in \{0, 1\}$ is taken with respect to the sum $F_{\theta_0}(\vec{A}) + F_{\theta_1}(\vec{A})$.

Definition. A family of interpolation functors $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in (0,1)}$ satisfies the **reiteration condition** if for any Banach couple $\vec{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ and for any $\theta_0, \theta_1, \lambda \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$F_\lambda(F_{\theta_0}(\vec{A}), F_{\theta_1}(\vec{A})) = F_{(1-\lambda)\theta_0 + \lambda\theta_1}(\vec{A}).$$

Theorem

Let $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)$ and let $I \subset (0, 1)$ be an interval of invertibility of T with respect to the stable family of interpolation functors $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in (0,1)}$. Assume that $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in (0,1)}$ satisfy both the (Δ) and the reiteration condition. Then for any $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in I$, the inverse operators $T_{\theta_0}^{-1}$ and $T_{\theta_1}^{-1}$ agree on $F_{\theta_0}(\vec{Y}) \cap F_{\theta_1}(\vec{Y})$, i.e.,

$$T_{\theta_0}^{-1}(y) = T_{\theta_1}^{-1}(y), \quad y \in F_{\theta_0}(\vec{Y}) \cap F_{\theta_1}(\vec{Y}).$$

- Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a linear operator between Banach spaces, then the **injection modulus** of T is defined by

$$j(T) := \inf_{\|x\|_E=1} \|Tx\|_F = \sup\{\tau > 0; \|Tx\|_F \geq \tau\|x\|_E\}.$$

An operator T is called an injection if $j(T) > 0$. Clearly that T that an injection can be characterized as a one-to-one operator from E into F with closed range.

- The **surjection modulus** of T is given by

$$q(T) := \sup\{\tau > 0; T(B_E) \supset \tau B_F\}.$$

An operator T is called a **surjection** if $q(T) > 0$, which is equivalent to $T(E) = F$. If $\|T\| = q(T) = 1$, then T is said to be a **metric surjection** (i.e., T maps the open unit ball of E onto the open unit ball of F).

- **Definition.** Let $\mathcal{G}(\vec{X})$ the Banach space of all continuous functions $g: \bar{S} \rightarrow X_0 + X_1$ that are analytic on the strip S and grow no faster than $C(1 + |z|)$ for some $C > 0$. We endow $\mathcal{G}(\vec{X})$ with the norm

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{G}} := \max_{j=0,1} \left\{ \sup_{s \neq t} \frac{\|g(j + is) - g(j + it)\|_{X_j}}{|s - t|} \right\}.$$

The **upper/second** complex interpolation space is defined by

$$[\vec{X}]^{\theta} := \{g'(\theta); g \in \mathcal{G}\}$$

and equipped with the quotient norm.

Theorem

Let $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$ and $\vec{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1)$ be couples of Banach spaces, and let an operator $T: \vec{X} \rightarrow \vec{Y}$. Assume that the unit balls of $[\vec{X}]^{\theta_0}$ and $[\vec{X}]^\theta$ are closed in $X_0 + X_1$ for some θ_0 and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. If d is a conformal map of the strip S onto the unit open disc \mathbb{D} , then

$$j(T: [\vec{X}]^\theta \rightarrow [\vec{Y}]^\theta) \geq M \max \left\{ \frac{j_{\theta_0}(T) - q(\theta, \theta_0)M}{M - q(\theta, \theta_0)j_{\theta_0}(T)}, 0 \right\},$$

where $j_{\theta_0}(T) = j(T: [\vec{X}]^{\theta_0} \rightarrow [\vec{Y}]_{\theta_0}^c)$, $M = \|T\|_{\vec{X} \rightarrow \vec{Y}}$ and $q: S \times S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is given by

$$q(\lambda, z) = \left| \frac{d(\lambda) - d(z)}{1 - \overline{d(z)}d(\lambda)} \right|, \quad \lambda, z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Corollary

Under the assumptions of the above Theorem the conditions $j_{\theta_0}(T) > 0$ and $\frac{j_{\theta_0}(T)}{M} > q(\theta, \theta_0)$ imply that

$$j(T: [\vec{X}]^\theta \rightarrow [\vec{Y}]^\theta) > 0$$

i.e., $T: [\vec{X}]^\theta \rightarrow [\vec{Y}]^\theta$ is an isomorphic embedding.

Remark:

If $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$, then $q(\theta, \theta_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $\theta \rightarrow \theta_0$. Thus $j_{\theta_0}(T) > 0$ implies that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$j(T: [\vec{X}]^\theta \rightarrow [\vec{Y}]^\theta) > 0$$

for all θ in $(0, 1)$ with $|\theta - \theta_0| < \varepsilon$.

- **Example.** The map $z \mapsto \operatorname{tg} z$ is a conformal map of the open strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; -\frac{\pi}{4} < \operatorname{Re} z < \frac{\pi}{4}\}$ onto the disc \mathbb{D} . Thus φ defined by

$$\varphi(z) = \operatorname{tg} \left(z - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad z \in S$$

is a conformal map of S onto \mathbb{D} and so q is given by

$$q(\lambda, z) = \left| \frac{\operatorname{tg}(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\pi}{2} - \operatorname{tg}(z - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\pi}{2}}{1 - \operatorname{tg}(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{tg}(\bar{z} - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\pi}{2}} \right|, \quad \lambda, z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

- **Corollary.** If $j_{1/2}(T) > 0$, then by

$$q(\theta, 1/2) = \left| \operatorname{tg} \left(\theta - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\pi}{2} \right|,$$

it follows that $j(T: [\vec{X}]^\theta \rightarrow [\vec{Y}]^\theta) > 0$ whenever

$$\left| \theta - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left(\frac{j_{1/2}(T)}{M} \right).$$

Theorem

Let $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$ and $\vec{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1)$ be complex Banach couples, and let $T: \vec{X} \rightarrow \vec{Y}$ be an operator with $M' = \|T'\|_{\vec{Y}' \rightarrow \vec{X}'}$. Then for all $\theta_0, \theta \in (0, 1)$,

$$q_\theta(T) \geq M' \max \left\{ \frac{q_{\theta_0}(T) - q(\theta, \theta_0)M'}{M' - q(\theta, \theta_0)q_{\theta_0}(T)}, 0 \right\},$$

where $q_\theta(T) = q(T: [\vec{X}]^\theta \rightarrow [\vec{Y}]^\theta)$.

Let (X_0, X_1) be a Banach couple of complex Banach function lattices on a σ -finite measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) . The **Calderón product** $X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^\theta$ ($0 < \theta < 1$) is defined to be the space of all $f \in L^0(\mu)$ such that

$$|f| \leq \lambda |f_0|^{1-\theta} |f_1|^\theta \text{ quad } \mu - \text{ a.e.}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$ and $f_j \in X_j$ with $\|f_j\|_{X_j} \leq 1$, $j = 0, 1$. The Calderón product is a Banach function lattice on (Ω, Σ, μ) equipped with the norm

$$\|f\| = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : |f| \leq \lambda |f_0|^{1-\theta} |f_1|^\theta, f_0 \in B_{X_0}, f_1 \in B_{X_1} \}.$$

Theorem

Let $(X_0, X_1), \vec{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1)$ be couples of Banach lattices with the Fatou property. Assume that $T: (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)$ is such that $T: X_0^{1-\theta_*} X_1^{\theta_*} \rightarrow Y_0^{1-\theta_*} Y_1^{\theta_*}$ is an invertible operator for some $\theta_* \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$T: X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^\theta \rightarrow Y_0^{1-\theta} Y_1^\theta$$

is an invertible operator whenever $|\theta - \theta_*| < \delta$.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a unbounded domain (above the graph of real-valued Lipschitz function defined in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}).

Question: For which $X(\partial\Omega)$ the Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian:

$$\Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

under the conditions $M(u) \in X(\partial\Omega)$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega} = f \in X(\partial\Omega)$ has a solution? Here, M stands for the nontangential maximal operator given by

$$M(u)(x) := \sup\{|u(y)|; y \in \Omega, |x - y| < 2 \operatorname{dist}(y, \partial\Omega)\}, \quad x \in \partial\Omega$$

and the trace is taken in the sense of nontangential convergence to the boundary, i.e.,

$$u|_{\partial\Omega}(x) := \lim_{\substack{\Omega \ni y \rightarrow x \\ |x-y| < 2 \operatorname{dist}(y, \partial\Omega)}} u(y), \quad x \in \partial\Omega.$$

- R. Coifman, A. McIntosh and Y. Meyer (1982); G. Verchota (1984).

$$\|M(\mathcal{D}f)\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)}, \quad \mathcal{D}f|_{\partial\Omega} = \left(\frac{1}{2}I + \mathcal{K}\right)f,$$

for every $f \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$, $1 < p < \infty$ and the solution is given by

$$u(x) = \mathcal{D}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}I + \mathcal{K}\right)^{-1}f\right)(x), \quad x \in \Omega$$

whenever the inverse $\left(\frac{1}{2}I + \mathcal{K}\right)^{-1}$ exists in $(L^p(\partial\Omega))$. Here \mathcal{D} is the harmonic double layer potential operator and \mathcal{K} its principal-value boundary version.

- B. Dalberg and C. Kenig (1987) There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}I + \mathcal{K}: L^p(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\partial\Omega)$$

isomorphically for $p \in (2 - \varepsilon, \infty)$.